

# Compare and Contrast: Karl Marx' 10 Point Program of Communism and the Democrat Agenda

Posted by [G. Zorn](#) on October 1, 2010, ResistNet

[ [http://www.resistnet.com/forum/topic/show?id=2600775%3ATopic%3A2643820&xgs=1&xg\\_source=msg\\_share\\_topic](http://www.resistnet.com/forum/topic/show?id=2600775%3ATopic%3A2643820&xgs=1&xg_source=msg_share_topic) ]

According to Karl Marx, the following ten elements were requisite conditions for "a transition from capitalism to communism."

**1. Abolition of property in land and application of all rents of land to public purposes.** See: *Washington Examiner*: The Great Obama Land Grab: "White House officials have been meeting quietly with environmental

groups to map out government plans for acquiring untold millions of acres of both public and private land... The federal government, as the memo boasted, is the nation's 'largest land manager.' It already owns roughly one of every three acres in the United States... *This is apparently not enough.*"

**2. A heavy progressive or graduated income tax.** From the *National Taxpayer Union*: The top 1% of taxpayers already pay 40% of all income taxes. The top 5% pay 61% of all income taxes. And the top 25% pay 87%. The bottom 50% pay 3% of all income taxes.

**3. Abolition of all right of inheritance. And confiscation of the property of all emigrants [those leaving the country] and rebels.** See: *USA Today*: "Estate Tax to return in 2011, and it could hurt ordinary folks."

**4. National Control over Industry. Obama has already taken control over the banking and lending industry.** See: *New York Post*: The President's new jobs-killer: "...President Obama explicitly targeted the US oil and gas industry for two massive tax hikes. First, he'd ban oil and gas companies from using the 'Section 199' tax credit, a measure for domestic manufacturers enacted in 2004 to boost US employment... Second, he wants to end 'dual capacity' protection for US energy firms... Without this shield against **double taxation on foreign revenues, American companies would be competing on an uneven global playing field...** Yet, by the federal government's own economic model, these tax hikes would lead to huge, immediate job losses."

**5. Centralization of credit in the hands of the State, by means of a national bank with State capital and an exclusive monopoly.** See: *New York Post*: Democrats, Fannie Mae still issuing subprime loans, even after meltdown. And *CNS News*: Obama to Nationalize Student Lending with Pending Budget Bill.

**6. Centralization of the means of communication and transport in the hands of the State.** See: *Tom's Hardware*: "Bill Allows Obama Power to Shut Down Internet." And "Advisers on talk radio targeted by Congressional investigations." And "in an exclusive interview with Rolling Stone Magazine, President Obama made the extraordinarily un-presidential claim that Fox is "destructive to America's long-term growth."

**7. Extension of factories and instruments of production owned by the State; the bringing into cultivation of waste-lands, and the improvement of the soil generally in accordance with a common plan.** See *National Legal and Policy Center*: "Obama Arranges Take-over of GM and Chrysler." And *Discover*: "New EPA Rules Clamp Down on Mountaintop Removal Coal Mining." And *News 9 Oklahoma*: "EPA to Crack Down on Farm Dust."

**8. Equal liability of all to labor. Establishment of industrial armies, especially for agriculture.** See: *Wall Street Journal*: "SEIU's Andrew Stern Tops White House Visitor List." And *The Blaze*: "Obama's OFA, Churches Join Democratic Socialists for Saturday March."

**9. Combination of agriculture with manufacturing industries; gradual abolition of the distinction**

**between town and country, by a more equitable distribution of the population over the country.**

See: *Heritage*: "The Clean Water Restoration Act Means Troubled Waters for Property Owners."

"...The Waxman-Markey global warming bill is far and away the most worrisome environmental measure currently working its way through Congress, but it is certainly not the only cause for concern... S. 787, the Clean Water Restoration Act (CWRA)... would do much more harm than good, especially for farmers, ranchers, developers, energy producers, and other property owners."

**10. Free education for all children in public schools. Abolition of children's factory labour in its present form. Combination of education with industrial production.:** See *New York Sun*: "Obama Tells Teachers Union He Opposes Vouchers." And *Big Hollywood*: "National Endowment for the Arts (NEA): Obama's Ministry of Propaganda."

According to Karl Marx, the following ten elements were requisite conditions for "a transition from capitalism to communism."

No federal government has damaged the American free market more than this one. No federal government has stolen more employment, more freedom, more private property -- from this and future generations -- than this one. No government has created more regulations, more unconstitutional dictates, more -- dare I say it -- slavery than this one.

Now, given all of these facts: can there be any doubt what Barack Obama meant when he twice proposed, "a civilian national security force that's just as powerful, just as strong, just as well-funded" as our military?

The Obama-Democrat Left has mounted the first successful counter-revolution -- against the American Revolution -- in our history. Using an incessant series of attacks by a fifth column, the intent of the counter-revolution is to eradicate the effects of the American Revolution. The most magnificent society ever created hangs in the balance.

The midterm elections in November represent our last chance to salvage the American experiment.

Marshal your parents, your children, your siblings, your neighbors, your coworkers -- marshal everyone you know, because the stakes could not be higher.

### **References:**

**Great Obama Land Grab:** <http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/columns/The-great-Obama-land-grab-471608-100664094.html> (White House officials have been meeting quietly with environmental groups to map out government plans for acquiring untold millions of acres of both public and private land).

**Who Pays Income Taxes and How Much?** - <http://www.ntu.org/tax-basics/who-pays-income-taxes.html>

**Estate Tax to Return in 2011:** [http://www.usatoday.com/money/perfi/taxes/2010-07-21-estatetax21\\_CV\\_N.htm](http://www.usatoday.com/money/perfi/taxes/2010-07-21-estatetax21_CV_N.htm)

(Steinbrenner's death on July 13 occurred six months after the federal estate tax expired. Forbes magazine estimates the Yankees owner's net worth was \$1.15 billion, so the timing of Steinbrenner's death could save his heirs up to \$500 million in federal estate taxes. But future heirs may not be so lucky. The federal estate tax is scheduled to return with a vengeance on Jan. 1, 2011, imposing a levy of up to 55% on estates valued at more than \$1 million. People who live in high property value areas, such as Washington DC, NYC, Boston, Los Angeles, Miami.. are particularly vulnerable.)

### **The President's new Job Killer:**

[http://www.nypost.com/p/news/opinion/opedcolumnists/the\\_prez\\_new\\_jobs\\_killer\\_4ndPiDDIONpgCfi9eXfDUN](http://www.nypost.com/p/news/opinion/opedcolumnists/the_prez_new_jobs_killer_4ndPiDDIONpgCfi9eXfDUN)

(The president and many in Congress are using this year's catastrophe in the Gulf to push for sweeping, unrelated measures that would punish not only the US oil and gas industry but the American economy as a whole. The oil and gas industry already contributes \$165 billion each year to government coffers. In fact, the sector paid more to the US government in taxes between 1981 and 2008 than it took home in profit. And a strong domestic energy industry has other benefits, such as adding value to shareholders investments -- including pension funds.

Adding upward of \$36.5 billion in taxes on the energy sector is simply bad economics. And destroying 150,000 jobs when unemployment is stuck near 10 percent is bad politics. These proposed energy tax hikes are a lose-lose-lose proposition: The US economy loses, US energy security loses, and more than 150,000 US workers lose).

### **Building the Next Sub-Prime Crisis:**

[http://www.nypost.com/p/news/opinion/opedcolumnists/building\\_the\\_next\\_subprime\\_crisis\\_V3m4nolf77wLI3sZ](http://www.nypost.com/p/news/opinion/opedcolumnists/building_the_next_subprime_crisis_V3m4nolf77wLI3sZ)

[PkePZI](#) (President Obama and Democrats in Congress haven't moved to stop subprime lending -- they've just taken it over. They've created a federal subprime-lending monopoly by seizing control of the financial apparatus -- Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Housing Administration -- and lending 100 percent of the home price to borrowers who can't afford it.

Obama and the Dems haven't limited their subprime takeover to the GSEs. The Federal Housing Administration insured 29.4 percent of all home purchases in 2009, up from just 4.5 percent in 2006.

To insure a loan, the FHA requires a down-payment of 3.5 percent -- but also it allows "seller concessions" of 6 percent. In other words, if the seller's willing to mark up the official price by 6 percent and then put that amount to the down-payment, the buyer doesn't have to come up with any cash. There's talk about reducing allowable seller concessions to 3 percent -- but that would still permit FHA-insured loans of 99.4 percent.

Because the FHA is insuring so many more mortgages than it used to, its capital reserve -- the cash it's supposed to have on-hand in case of a wave of defaults -- is at a quarter of the congressionally mandated minimum. But Democrats want the FHA to keep insuring subprime loans, so it's not enforcing the minimum.)

### **Obama to Nationalize Student Lending with Pending Budget Bill:**

<http://www.cnsnews.com/news/article/60074>

(The Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act -- currently being considered by the Senate Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions (HELP) Committee -- would eliminate the Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) program. FFEL loans are federally subsidized and make up approximately 80 percent of the student lending industry.

According to the Department of Education, 14.3 million of the 17.5 million student loans were federally subsidized for the 2009-2010 fiscal year. Under Obama's plan, the government would consume the entirety of this industry -- a total of \$103 billion in 2009-2010.

Under the current system, the federal government subsidizes private financial institutions in order to entice those institutions to provide low-interest loans to students.

Under this arrangement the government sets the interest rates lenders may charge students. In return, the government reimburses lenders if market interest rates rise above the interest rates on the loans -- in essence, the government reimburses private lenders if they begin losing money on the loans.)

### **Bill Allows Obama to Shut Down Internet:** <http://www.tomshardware.com/news/obama-shut-down-internet-legislation,7478.html>

(A legislation proposed on April 1 is no joke. The proposed bill would grant President Obama the authority to shut down public and private networks -- including the restriction of internet traffic -- as part of a cybersecurity emergency plan. The bill was introduced by West Virginia Democratic Sen. John Rockefeller and Sen. Olympia Snowe, a Republican from Maine. The aim of the bill is to unite both public and private network operators to develop regulations for what to do in case of a cyber attack. It seems the

President could turn off the Internet completely or tell someone like Verizon to limit or block certain traffic. There is a lot to worry about in this bill.”)

### **Barack Chavez Obama Doesn't Want You to Watch America's Most Trusted News:**

<http://directorblue.blogspot.com/2010/09/barack-chavez-obama-doesnt-want-you.html> (In an exclusive interview with Rolling Stone Magazine, Obama made the extraordinarily un-presidential claim that Fox is "destructive to America's long-term growth." Consider that a recent Politico-George Washington University poll recently determined that Fox News is trusted by 42% of the American public versus 30% for CNN and a puny 12% for MSNBC.

### **Obama Administration Arranges Take-Over of GM and Chrysler:**

<http://www.nlpc.org/stories/2009/05/01/obama-administration-arranges-takeover-gm-and-chrysler-auto-workers-union-gets-hu> (5/01/2009) - But the White House-brokered takeover of General Motors and Chrysler this week has to qualify as one of the most radical moves in the history of American industry. Not only would the Obama administration effectively place these companies under Treasury Department receivership, it would give the United Auto Workers (UAW) a huge financial stake in their operations. Indeed, that would seem to be the point: The administration and organized labor embody the Democratic Party Left. What strengthens one strengthens the other.

The agreement, rushed to meet a government-imposed April 30 deadline, would give the UAW retiree health care trust fund a nearly 40 percent stake in GM and a 55 percent majority stake in Chrysler, the latter having declared bankruptcy yesterday. That fund - a voluntary employee beneficiary association (VEBA) set up a year and a half ago - is set to go into effect January 1, 2010. There is irony in all this. It was crushing union-negotiated health care costs, retirement costs and restrictive work rules that helped drive these companies into federal dependency, a condition that Ford barely has avoided. Even emergency federal loans made by the Bush and Obama administrations - GM's share alone has risen to \$15.4 billion - haven't been enough. Now the union and the government get to call most of the shots.)

### **New EPA Rules Clamp Down on Mountaintop Removal Coal Mining :**

<http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/80beats/2010/04/02/new-epa-rules-clamp-down-on-mountaintop-removal-coal-mining/>

(At "mountaintop removal" mines, which are unique to Appalachian states, miners blast the peaks off mountains to reach coal seams inside and then pile vast quantities of rubble in surrounding valleys [Washington Post]. The chemicals that result from decapitating a mountain and mining coal tend to run off into the valleys and pollute rivers and streams, however. So when 80beats last left mountaintop removal, a group of scientists had taken a public stance in the journal Science calling for a complete end to this kind of mining.

The new EPA rules don't go that far. But the mining regulations will be difficult for mountaintop removal projects to meet. Basically, the EPA will set a standard level for permissible mining runoff allowed to reach waterways, and if a mining project is expected to exceed five times that number, it won't go forward. Agency head Lisa Jackson says, "You are talking about either no or very few valley fills that are going to be able to meet standards like this. What the science is telling us is that it would be untrue to say you can have any more than minimal valley fill and not see irreversible damage to stream health" [The Guardian]. Between 2000 and 2008, the government had granted more than 500 permits for valley fills).

### **EPA to Crack Down on Farm Dust:** <http://www.news9.com/global/story.asp?s=12899662>

(The EPA states "If approved, would establish the most stringent and unparalleled regulation of dust in our nation's history." It further states, "We respect efforts for a clean and healthy environment, but not at the expense of common sense. These identified levels will be extremely burdensome for farmers and livestock

producers to attain. Whether its livestock kicking up dust, soybeans being combined on a dry day in the fall, or driving a car down the gravel road, dust is a naturally occurring event."

"Anytime you work ground, you're going to have dust. I don't know how they'll regulate it," Farmer Roberts said. "The regulations are going to put us down and keep us from doing things we need to be doing because of the EPA.")

### **SEIU's President Andrew Stern Tops White House Visitor List:**

<http://blogs.wsj.com/washwire/2009/10/30/seius-stern-tops-white-house-visitor-list/> (Service Employees International Union (SEIU) President Andrew Stern holds sway at the White House, where he's listed for 22 visits—the top number on the logs. Visitors in the top 10 also include former Clinton White House Chief of Staff John Podesta, former Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle, National Organization for Women President Kim Gandy, and NARAL Pro-Choice America President Nancy Keenan.

### **Obama's OFA, Churches Join Democratic Socialists for Saturday March:**

<http://www.theblaze.com/stories/obamas-ofa-churches-join-democratic-socialists-for-saturday-march-in-washington/> [Attempting to overcome the popularity of Glenn Beck's 8/28 rally, a group of around 300 liberal and progressive organizations have planned a rally on the National Mall this weekend. According to two new reports, Saturday's 10/2 march — "One Nation, Working Together" — has also garnered the support of President Obama's political organizing group, the OFA, as well as multiple churches. (The Democratic National Committee's Organizing for America (OFA) works to support and build off of Obama's policies). But OFA was not alone in supporting the rally. Among those who have decided to sponsor the event are multiple church groups, including the United Methodist Church, the United Church of Christ, the National Baptist Convention and several Jewish organizations. Among the other groups who endorsed the rally are the NAACP, the American Federation of Teachers, Al Sharpton's National Action Network, the SEIU, Jim Wallis's Sojourners, the AFL-CIO, Communist Party USA, and the Democratic Socialists of America. The rally also boasted the support of progressive personality Ed Schultz.)

### **The Clean Water Restoration Act Means Troubled Waters for Property Owners:**

<http://blog.heritage.org/2009/06/17/the-clean-water-restorationact-means-troubled-waters-for-property-owners/> (The Waxman-Markey global warming bill is far and away the most worrisome environmental measure currently working its way through Congress, but it is certainly not the only cause for concern. Despite the all-encompassing global warming debate (and perhaps because of it) other problematic green measures are also being rushed through the House or Senate with minimal discussion. One such measure is S. 787, the Clean Water Restoration Act (CWRA). Like Waxman-Markey, the CWRA would do much more harm than good, especially for farmers, ranchers, developers, energy producers, and other property owners. The Senate's Environment and Public Works Committee has hurriedly scheduled June 18th as the day to both debate and vote on the bill.

For years, the 1972 Clean Water Act has been misused in the name of protecting Americas waters and wetlands. The statute's original limitation that its key provisions only apply to navigable waters was largely ignored. Instead, the law was broadly applied to a wide variety of circumstances, including remote and inconsequential drainage ditches or temporary puddles and even to completely dry land.

The statute's complex and costly provisions interfered with the economic use of the lands it encompassed, including farming and ranching operations, construction of housing and other buildings, and domestic oil and gas production.

Fortunately, two Supreme Court decisions, Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. United States in 2001, and Rapanos v. United States in 2006 partially reined in these excesses. But now, the CWRA seeks to overturn these Supreme Court decisions and make the statute more expansive than ever. In fact, it would turn the Clean Water Act into what some analysts believe to be the most dangerous federal intrusion on private property rights in existence. First, it seeks to remove the limitation that the statute only apply to navigable

waters and apply it to all waters of the United States. Then it seeks to broadly define such waters as not just “all waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide, the territorial seas, and all interstate and intrastate waters and their tributaries, including lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams),” but also “mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, natural ponds....” Yes, prairie potholes. Note also that the CWRA makes clear that intrastate as well as interstate waters are the purview of the feds.

The CWRA is an invitation for federal regulators (or environmental organizations filing lawsuits) to shut down any use of land that they don't like so long as there is a little water somewhere in the vicinity. If the past is any guide, this law will be used to stop a tremendous amount of economic activity. Though not as far-reaching as Waxman Markey, the CWRA would be a serious blow to the rural economy, not to mention private property rights.)

**Obama Tells Teachers Union He Opposes Vouchers:** <http://www.nysun.com/new-york/obama-tells-teachers-union-he-opposes-vouchers/81801/> (7/14/2008 - Senator Obama says decisively that he does not support private school vouchers, but supports incentive pay for teachers based on their students' performance. Obama has always been a critic of vouchers. While he supports charter schools, he says he opposes private school vouchers. "We need to focus on fixing and improving our public schools; not throwing our hands up and walking away from them," he said.)

**National Endowment for the Arts (NEA): Obama's Ministry of Propaganda:** <http://bighollywood.breitbart.com/jhudnall/2009/09/24/nea-obamas-ministry-of-propaganda/> (NEA revelations clearly show that the administration is trying to use NEA artists/writers/actors for propaganda means. Not for art, as they are supposed to be used for.

Propaganda is an advertisement for an agenda. According to Merriam-Webster's dictionary, “propaganda” is defined as: (1). the spreading of ideas, information, or rumor for the purpose of helping or injuring an institution, a cause, or a person; or (2). ideas, facts, or allegations spread deliberately to further one's cause or to damage an opposing cause; also : a public action having such an effect.

How soon will it be before we see movies and documentaries telling us about our “great leader Obama” and his great plans to improve America.